Honorable Richard A. Jones 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 DEBORAH H. BEATON, 10 NO. 11-CV-0872-RAJ Plaintiff, v. 11 **DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST** JPMORGAN CHASE BANK N.A., 12 FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN NORTHWEST TRUSTEE SERVICES, INC. **CONJUNTION WITH CHASE'S** 13 FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION TO Defendant. **DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST]** 14 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR **DAMAGES** 15 16 **NOTING DATE:** January 27, 2012 17 INTRODUCTION AND RELIEF REQUESTED 18 I. In conjunction with its Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's [First] 19 Amended Complaint for Damages, Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA, an acquirer of 20 certain assets and liabilities of Washington Mutual Bank from the Federal Deposit 21 22 DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201 23 WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801 TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST] 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354 24 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 1 25 NO. 11-CV-0872-RAJ

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Insurance Corporation ("Chase"), requests the Court take judicial notice of certain facts pertinent to its motion, supported by recorded and other documents, pursuant to Fed. R. Ev. 201.

II. STATEMENT OF LAW

Judicial notice may be taken at any stage of the proceeding. Fed. R. Ev. 201(d). A court shall take judicial notice if requested by a party and supplied with the necessary information. Fed. R. Ev. 201(d). A judicially noted fact must be one not subject to reasonable dispute in that it is capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot reasonably be questioned. Fed. R. Ev. 201(c)(2).

Documents whose contents are alleged in a complaint but which are not physically attached to the pleading may be considered in ruling on a CR 12(b)(6) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) dismissal motion. Rodriguez v. Loudeye Corp., 144 Wn.App. 709, 726, 189 P.3d 168 (2008); also see, e.g., Branch v. Tunnell, 14 F.3d 449, 453–54 (9th Cir.), cert. den'd., 512 U.S. 1219, 114 S.Ct. 2704, 129 L.Ed.2d 832 (1994), overruled on other grounds by Galbraith v. Co. of Santa Clara, 307 F.3d 1119 (9th Cir.2002); In re Stac Elecs. Sec. Litig., 89 F.3d 1399, 1405, n. 4 (9th Cir.1996) (appropriate for trial court to consider other portions of a document referenced in a complaint in a motion to dismiss and doing so does not convert the motion into one for summary judgment), cert. den'd., 520 U.S. 1103, 117 S.Ct. 1105, 137 L.Ed.2d 308 (1997).

The existence and fact of recorded documents are appropriate subjects of judicial notice, as they satisfy the requirements of Fed. R. Ev. 201(b)(2). Indeed, numerous cases

22 DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR 23 24

JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST] AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 2

BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354

25

NO. 11-CV-0872-RAJ

Case 2:11-cv-00872-RAJ Document 39 Filed 01/03/12 Page 3 of 8

arising from borrowers' challenges to institution of nonjudicial foreclosure proceedings, as
here, specifically hold that judicial notice of the Note, Deed of Trust, Notice of Trustee's
Sale, and related documents is appropriate, and does not convert a dismissal motion into
one for summary judgment. See, e.g., St. John v. Northwest Trustee Svcs., Inc., 2011 WL
4543658, *2, n. 1 (W.D.Wash.) ("A court may take judicial notice of authentic documents
that are publicly recorded, attached to or relied extensively upon in a plaintiff's
complaint."); Corales v. Flagstar Bank, FSB, 2011 WL 4899957, *10 (W.D.Wash.)
(Granting dismissal, stating "a review of the various publically recorded documents that
were submitted supports [defendant's] motion to dismiss"); Gruendl v. Wells Farge
Bank, N.A., 2011 WL 1885386, *1 (W.D.Wash.) ("The Court also considered the deed of
trust, notice of trustee's sale, and the trustee's deed because they have been recorded in the
Whatcom County Auditor's office."); Ballard v. Bank of America, N.A., 2011 WL 941006
*2 (W.D.Wash.) ("The Court may take judicial notice of publicly recorded documents
without converting the instant motion to one for summary judgment Therefore, the
Court considers [the] note and deed of trust"); Joern v. Ocwen Loan Svcg., LLC, 2010
WL 3516907, *2 (E.D.Wash.) (Court took judicial notice of matters of public record.).
Chase requests judicial notice of the following facts recorded instruments

concerning Plaintiff's subject Property, and documents referenced in and/or attached to Plaintiff's [First] Amended Complaint:

DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST]

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 3

NO. 11-CV-0872-RAJ

BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354

1 III. REQUESTED FACTS AND SOURCE 2 Fact One: On or about August 28, 2006, Plaintiff Deborah R. Beaton purchased the real property and improvements thereon commonly known as 22650 24th Ave. S., Des Moines, 3 4 Washington 98198 (the "Property"). 5 **Source One:** Statutory Warranty Deed dated August 29, 2006, and recorded on September 6 6, 2006, as King Co. Auditor's No. 20060906002230, a true and correct copy of which is 7 attached hereto as Exhibit 1. 8 9 Fact Two: Ms. Beaton funded the purchase by a loan from Washington Mutual Bank 10 ("WaMu") in the principal amount of \$271,960.00, evidenced by a Promissory Note she drew 11 payable to WaMu in that amount, dated August 28, 2006 (the "Note"). 12 **Source Two:** Promissory Note dated August 28, 2006, a true and correct copy of which is 13 attached hereto as Exhibit 2. 14 15 **Fact Three:** The Note was secured by a Deed of Trust against the Property date August 28, 16 2006, identifying WaMu as the "Lender," Ms. Beaton as the "Borrower," and Ticor Title 17 Company as the "Trustee" (the "Deed of Trust"). 18 **Source Three:** Deed of Trust dated August 28, 2006, and recorded on September 6, 2006, as 19 King Co. Auditor's No. 20060906002231, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto 20 as **Exhibit 3.** 21 22 DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201 23 WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801 TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST] 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354 24 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 4 25 NO. 11-CV-0872-RAJ

Case 2:11-cv-00872-RAJ Document 39 Filed 01/03/12 Page 5 of 8

Fact Four: On September 25, 2008, the Office of Thrift Supervision closed Washington
Mutual Bank and appointed the FDIC as Receiver for Washington Mutual Bank.
Source Four: Office of Thrift Supervision Receivership Order dated September 25, 2008, a
true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
Fact Five: On September 25, 2008, Chase purchased certain of WaMu's assets, including
Ms. Beaton's loan, but expressly disaffirmed liability for any potential claims which may be
asserted by WaMu's borrowers arising from existing WaMu loans.
Source Five: Selected portions of Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated September 25,
2008, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 5.
Fact Six: As WaMu's successor in interest to Ms. Beaton's loan, Chase chose to appoint a
Successor Trustee for the Deed of Trust, Defendant Northwest Trustee Services, Inc.
("NWTS").
Source Six: Appointment of Successor Trustee dated November 29, 2010, and recorded on
December 1, 2010, as King Co. Auditor's No. 20101201001577, a true and correct copy of
which is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.
Fact Seven: Approximately two years after Chase purchased Ms. Beaton's loan, on or about
June 1, 2010, Ms. Beaton defaulted in making her home loan payments and failed to cure the
DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201
WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801 TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST] 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354
AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 5
NO. 11-CV-0872-RAJ

1	default. The Trustee of the Deed of Trust, NWTS, served Ms. Beaton with a Notice of
2	Trustee's Sale, scheduling the Trustee's sale for March 18, 2011.
3	Source Seven: Notice of Trustee's Sale dated December 16, 2010, and recorded on
4	December 16, 2010, as King Co. Auditor's No. 20101216000340, a true and correct copy of
5	which is attached hereto as Exhibit 7.
6	
7	Fact Eight: On March 1, 2011, Ms. Beaton caused to be recorded the following four
8	instruments against her property:
9	Uniform Commercial Code ("UCC") "Common Law Claim of Sweat Equity" lien
0	claim in the amount of \$2,033,236.08;
1	2. "Common Law Lien in the nature of a Mechanics Lien for Services" claim in the
12	amount of \$25,000.00;
13	3. "Notice and Declaration of Retroactive Revocation of Power of Attorney"; and
4	4. "Modification of Deed of Trust Rider."
15	Source Eight: UCC Financing Statement and attached documents dated February 8, 2011,
6	and recorded on March 1, 2011, as King Co. Auditor's No. 20110301001395, a true and
17	correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 8.
8	
9	Fact Nine: On April 19, 2011, Ms. Beaton caused to be recorded with the King County
20	Auditor's Office a document titled "Affidavit of Interest/Non-Abandonment," purporting to
21	"cancel" the Deed of Trust.
22	DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OF IVE WAY, SHITE 1201
23	JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201 WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801
24	TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST] 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 6
25	NO 11-CV-0872-RAI

Case 2:11-cv-00872-RAJ Document 39 Filed 01/03/12 Page 7 of 8

1	Source Nine: UCC Financing Statement dated April 18, 2011, and recorded on April 19,
2	2011, as King Co. Auditor's No. 20110419000819, a true and correct copy of which is
3	attached hereto as Exhibit 9.
4	
5	Fact Ten: Both federal and private agencies have issued consumer warnings to individuals
6	facing foreclosure to carefully evaluate foreclosure avoidance schemes.
7	Source Ten: U.S. Federal Trade Commission publication, "Mortgage Assistance Relief
8	Scams: Another Potential Stress for Homeowners in Distress," at
9	http://www.ftc.gov/bcp/pubs/consumer/credit/cre42.shtm, a true and correct copy of which is
0	attached hereto as Exhibit 10.
1	Source Eleven: U.S. Departments of the Treasury and Housing and Urban Development
12	publication, "Beware of Foreclosure Rescue Scams - Help is Free," at
13	http://www.makinghomeaffordable.gov/learn-more/Pages/beware.aspx, a true and correct
4	copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 11.
15	Source Twelve: U.S. Comptroller of the Currency Consumer Advisory publication, "OCC
16	Consumer Tips for Avoiding Mortgage Modification Scams and Foreclosure Rescue Scams,"
17	at http://www.occ.gov/news-issuances/consumer-advisories/2008/consumer-advisory-2008-
8	, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 12.
9	Source Thirteen: Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation publication, "Common Loan
20	Modification Scams," at http://www.loanscamalert.orb/common-scams.aspx, a true and
21	correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 13.
22 23	DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST] BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354
24 25	AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 7
	NO 11-CV-0872-RAI

IV. 1 CONCLUSION 2 In view of the foregoing sources, whose accuracy and authenticity cannot 3 reasonably be questioned, and pursuant to Fed. R. Ev. 201(c)(2), Chase respectfully 4 requests the Court judicially notice the facts stated above in connection with its Motion to 5 Dismiss Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Dated this 3rd day of January, 2012. 6 7 /s/ Barbara L. Bollero David A. Weibel, WSBA #24031 8 Barbara L. Bollero, WSBA #28906 BISHOP, WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. 9 720 Olive Way, Suite 1201 Seattle, WA 98101 10 (206) 622-5306, Ext.5918 Email: dweibel@bwmlegal.com 11 Email: bbollero@bwmlegal.com 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 DEFENDANT CHASE'S REQUEST FOR BISHOP WHITE, MARSHALL & WEIBEL, P.S. JUDICIAL NOTICE IN CONJUNTION 720 OLIVE WAY, SUITE 1201 23 WITH CHASE'S FRCP 12(b)(6) MOTION SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1801 TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S [FIRST] 206/622-5306 FAX: 206/622-0354 24 AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES - 8 25

NO. 11-CV-0872-RAJ